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Abstract
This article provides an overview of the production and use of field pea in European farming systems. Pea is cultivated in Europe for both human consumption and for animal feeding. For food, pea is consumed as dry seeds, green pods or green seeds (fresh, canned or frozen). Field pea is also used for animal feed. Pea production has declined in the region; however, interest in pea cultivation has recently revived. Pea production provides several agronomic advantages in the Mediterranean region supporting more sustainable cropping systems and reduced nitrogen fertiliser use. Furthermore, peas for animal feed partly substitute for the increasingly expensive imported soybean. In addition to describing the current situation of pea cultivation and the future perspectives, this article reports on the adaptability of pea in Europe, cropping techniques with emphasis on modern farming practices and varieties that make their cultivation more profitable and more attractive to growers, and the cropping systems that are commonly used for field pea production. The currently applied cropping practices in the region, including rotation, soil tillage practices, fertilisation, sowing and crop density, weed, pest and disease management, irrigation and harvesting, are outlined.
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Introduction
Pulses are high protein foods that are used for human and animal nutrition around the world. The human consumption of pulses is lower in Europe than in other regions of the world (Schneider, 2002). In Europe, however, field pea is an important pulse crop used for both livestock feed and human nutrition. Field pea, which is also known as common pea, dry pea, yellow pea and garden pea, is a cool-season legume cultivated worldwide. ‘Green pea’ is the term used by the FAO for peas harvested when the seed is still green and succulent to be eaten as a vegetable either fresh or processed.

World grain pea production in 2013 was 11 million tons (Table 1). About 5.5 million tonnes less than in 1990 when the highest worldwide production was registered (FAOSTAT, 2015). This reduction was largely due to the loss of 4 million hectares in Europe, only in part compensated by an increase in cultivated areas and productions in America.

Soil organic matter is generally low in southern Europe. Inclusion of pulses legumes in cropping sequences improves soil fertility and properties particularly soil organic nitrogen (Carranca et al., 1999), organic matter, and soil biological activities (Piotrowska and Wilczewski, 2012). Faba bean and vetch generally produce more biomass and accumulate more N than pea (Carranca et al., 1999; Bilalis et al., 2012). Field peas have the capacity to increase the availability of phosphorus for the following crop (Ha et al., 2007) and they are used also in intercropping systems to improve N use efficiency, as has been shown with field pea-wheat intercropping (Ghaley et al., 2005). Maximising N fixation while minimising soil N losses through denitrification and nitrate leaching is a challenging target (Corre-Hellou and Crozat, 2005; Poudel et al., 2001).
Field peas are also grown as forage crop for hay. Intercropping field peas with cereals for forage has become more common in recent years. Lithourgidis et al. (2011) observed that pea–triticale and pea–wheat mixtures produced better forage quality (high crude protein yield) than the other mixtures.

**History, origin and distribution**

*Pisum* is a small genus in the *Fabaceae* family (Fig. 1). The genetic diversity level in the wild species *P. fulvum* is much lower than the cultivated species *P. sativum* (Zong et al., 2009). The word ‘pea’ has its origin to the Italian word: pisello (derived by the Latin: pisselo) which has can be traced to the ancient Greek word ‘pison’ (πίσον). Peas probably originated in Abyssinia and Afghanistan, with areas in the Mediterranean area colonised later. Then, peas spread to other regions of Europe and Asia (Cousin, 1997). Pea was probably domesticated in the Middle East, simultaneously with wheat and barley, not later than the sixth millennium B.C (Zohary and Hopf, 1973).

**Uses**

Pea is one of the most popular pulse crops and has various uses for human consumption. Snow peas and podded sugar peas are eaten as whole pods (‘mangetout’). Immature pea seeds (green peas or ‘vining’ peas) are also usually canned or frozen and used as the familiar vegetable. Dry peas, for example marrowfat peas, are widely used for soups and similar dishes.

Pea seeds are nutritious due to their high protein content (23 to 31% of seed dry matter), minerals, carbohydrates and fibre (Dahl et al., 2012; Gueguen and Barbot, 1988; Świątecka et al., 2010). Moreover, peas contain several active compounds. These include polyphenolics, vitamins, saponins and galactose oligosaccharides (Dahl et al., 2012). Seed composition is influenced by climate and soil (Nikolopoulou et al., 2007).

For livestock feed, Lanza et al. (2003) noted that replacing soybean meal with peas did not significantly affect the growth and meat quality of lambs. According to Brenes et al. (1989) and Gatel and Grosjean (1990) pea seeds are used for non-ruminant animals (i.e. pigs, poultry) feeding in Europe. Field peas are also grown as forage crop for hay. Intercropping field peas with cereals for forage has become more common in recent years. Lithourgidis et al. (2011) observed that pea–triticale and pea–wheat mixtures produced better forage quality (high crude protein yield) than the other mixtures.

**Pea adaptability—Abiotic stress acclimation**

Pea is well adapted to a wide range of climates from semiarid to temperate maritime. In central and northern Europe peas is generally sown in spring whereas in southern Europe they are mostly sown in mid-November (Fig. 2.). In Northern Europe, autumn sowing should be avoided due to greater risk of frost damage during flowering. The optimum and base germination temperatures are around 20 °C and -1.1 °C, respectively (Raveneau et al., 2011). However, the risk of frost damage depends on the stage of plant development. According to Meyer and Badaruddin (2001) -4.5°C kills 50% of seedlings. In general, pulses are sensitive to freezing temperatures, particularly at the flowering, early pod formation and seed filling stages (Maqbool et al., 2010).

Pea tolerates frost if it is already exposed to low temperatures, a process known as cold acclimation (Bourion et al., 2003). Cysteine and methionine production has been correlated with pea tolerance to low temperatures (Legrand et al., 2013). In Italy, Annicchiarico and Filippi (2007) observed that autumn sowing led to higher yields (+56%) than spring.
sowing. Soil compaction and high temperatures or water stress during flowering and grain filling affects the growth and yield of pea crops. Moreover, winter pea flowers earlier, and thus should be less vulnerable to drought stress at the end of the cycle (Vocanson and Jeuffroy, 2008).

In semi-arid regions, crop management practices, such as early sowing are important for avoiding drought stress (Khan et al., 2010). Because of the high sensitivity to waterlogging, pea should not be cultivated on soils with low infiltration rates, while pea is very sensitive to salinity (Duzdemir et al., 2009). Recently, some interesting landraces originating from China and Greece were identified as more salt tolerant and could be used in breeding programmes to improve the salinity tolerance in field pea crops (Leonforte et al., 2013).

**Agronomy**

**Varieties**

There is much genetic variation in cultivated pea and a wide range of varieties with a wide range of traits are available. When selecting a pea variety, crop use, region, sowing date, yield potential, frost and diseases resistance or tolerance, harvesting ease and marketing options are considered. The pea varieties 'Kabuki', 'Oasis', 'Onward', 'Progress N9', 'Rondo', 'Utrillo', 'Tukano', 'Zero 4', 'Assas', 'Dove', 'Isard', 'Canion', 'Carumbi' are widely cultivated in southern Europe. Further information about pea varieties should be obtained from the seed trade.

The major risk (apart from drought) in autumn-sown pea is frost and ascochyta blight (Le May et al., 2009; Shafiq et al., 2012) while, according to Rubiales et al. (2009a) in Mediterranean region, the autumn sown peas are infected by crenate broomrape (Orobanche crenata Forsk.) and foliar diseases (i.e. rusts (Uromyces pisi Pers.), powdery mildew (Erysiphe pisi Boerema & Verh.). Thus, effort should be made to develop varieties resistant or tolerant to frost, broomrape and diseases.

In France, Le May et al. (2009) reported that a new type of winter pea (Hr genotype) exhibited the lowest level of disease incidence. Increasing grain legume yield by extending the growing season using autumn sowing requires winter-hardy material (Annichiarico and Iannuci, 2007). Shafiq et al. (2012) reported that five field pea accessions (ATC 104, ATC 377, ATC 968, ATC 3992 and ATC 4204) showed the highest frost tolerance during the flowering stage. Therefore, frost and disease tolerant genotypes are available for improving the pea crop.

**Crop establishment and crop rotation**

The seedbed for pea must be well prepared, usually following ploughing. However, pea has been characterized as very sensitive to soil compaction that significantly reduces pea growth and nitrogen fixation (Siczek et al., 2013). In another study, Hebblethwaite and McGowan (1980) illustrated a 50% yield reduction in vined peas due to top soil compaction, whereas Vocanson et al. (2006a) demonstrated this sensitivity in spring-sown peas that are sown early. Reduced or conservation tillage is used in semiarid regions in particular. Carr et al. (2009) reported that pea seed yield was increased by 13% when grown under zero tillage compared with conventional tillage. Under other conditions, Deibert and Utter (2004) observed that field pea will grow equally well regardless of tillage system. Optimum germination occurs at 15-20 °C and the recommended sowing depth is 2-5 cm. Field peas can tolerate deep seeding, down to 7.5 cm (Johnston and Stevenson, 2001) but deeper sowing depth may lead to increased variability in emergence time (Ayyaz et al., 2004). Row spacing is usually 20-50 cm, with 10-20 cm between plants in the row (Elkoca and Kantar, 2006). As cited by Gan et al. (2003), the highest seed yield was obtained at 75-80 plants m⁻². Other experiments have identified higher optimum seeding rates. In Canada, Spies et al. (2010) reported that the optimum plant density in field pea is 88 plants m⁻².

The inclusion of grain legumes in cropping systems leads to an increase in yield of following crops. These cropping systems have also reduced input requirements (MacWilliam et al., 2014). Pea yields are reduced if grown frequently due to root disease, other effects on the root system with reduced biological nitrogen fixation with adverse effects on nutrient cycling (Lupwayi et al., 2012; Knight, 2012). Crop rotation is an effective cultural method to control pea diseases. Ascochyta blight (Mycosphaerella pinodes) is a serious disease. An interval of at least 6 years is required to reduce the amount of ascochyta blight propagules by 90% (McDonald and Peck, 2009).

**Fertilisation-nitrogen fixation**

Fertiliser nitrogen is not generally required. Usually, applying nitrogen reduces nitrogen fixation but starter nitrogen applied early prior to the onset of nitrogen fixation has been recommended for field pea production when soils are low in nitrogen (Clayton et al., 1998). McKenzie et al. (2001) reported that are rarely no benefits from using starter N and where there are, these are generally small. In another study, Deibert and Utter (2004) reported that the highest seed yields (3.5 t ha⁻¹) were obtained when 135 kg ha⁻¹ nitrogen fertiliser was applied. Bricic et al. (2004) also observed that foliar molybdenum application increased total dry weight of nodules per plant. Nodules accumulate molybdenum which is required in order to support bacterial nitrogenase activity and symbiotic nitrogen fixation (Kaiser et al., 2005).

Phosphorus is required for pea growth and nitrogen fixation. Sandaña and Pinocchet (2014) reported that phosphorus uptake of pea ranged from 1.55 to 2.98 kg ha⁻¹. Therefore, the application of 30-35 kg ha⁻¹ P₂O₅ is sufficient to meet the crop requirement (Lafond and Pageau, 2010). Others researchers reported that pea responds to high fertilization rates. Tawaha and Turk (2004) found that field pea yields were maximised by high seeding rate (90 seeds m⁻²) and high P fertilisation levels (53 kg ha⁻¹). Phosphorus fertilisation should be based on soil analysis. The higher rates of phosphorus fertilization are recommended if phosphorus is deficient in the soil. On soils with optimum to high P levels, farmers should add the amount of phosphorus removed by pea crop and thus maintain the soil with an adequate phosphorus level. Phosphorus deficiency cause purple colour in leaves. Moreover, in soils with moderate plant available K status, the application of 50 kg ha⁻¹ is sufficient to meet the crop requirements and maintain the soil fertility (Lafond and Pageau, 2010).

Sulphur deficiencies have been recently also observed to the Mediterranean areas due to low application of sulphur fertilizers, as well as reduced atmospheric deposition of sulphur...
Sulphur deficiency resulted in decreased nitrogen fixation (Pacyna et al., 2006), while other researchers (Scherer and Lange, 1996) marked the importance of sulphur in legumes due to their high protein concentration. Specifically, the role of sulphur in pea cultivation is closely connected with symbiotic nitrogen fixation and nitrogen nutrition. In a pot experiment with pea, Zhao et al. (1999) pointed out that addition of sulphur increased significantly the seed yield, the total amount of nitrogen in the shoots and double the nitrogen fixation. Cazzato et al. (2014) suggested sulphur fertilization in winter legume grains (including pea) in Mediterranean areas to increase their fatty acid profile.

Weed control
Weed infestation lowers crop yields by competing for soil moisture, nutritive substances, space and light, harbouring various insects and fungi and making harvest difficult. Fernandez et al. (2012) observed that weed control increased pea yields by an average of 63%, while in another study Harker et al. (2001) reported that pea yield losses due to weed competition ranged from 40 to 70%.

With current pressures to reduce herbicide application while maintaining current level of weed control, the ability of varieties to suppress weed growth has become important (Efthimiadou et al., 2009). Traits such as branching, long
December) and by mild farming practices are incompatible with herbicide use (e.g. in Crop rotations also prevent some weed species from becoming to produce peas in organic farming (Corre-Hellou management (Lemerle. (2012) observed that pea suppresses weeds more to be followed resulting in decreased yield due to lower total number of plants.

Optimum choice of herbicides depends on the spectrum of weed species (Fig. 4). Common weeds found in field pea crops in southern Europe are listed in Table 2. To our knowledge, in Canada, the leading producer of pea in the world, the herbicides imazamox, imazethapyr, sulfafenacil, tepa
onecid, trifluralin, sethoxydim, s-metolachlor are available for use in pea. Currently, only a few herbicides are available for use in field pea crops Europe. The herbicides commonly used are pendimethalin, bentazon, imazamox, and quizalofop-p-ethyl.

Pendimethalin can be applied pre-plant to control many broadleaved and grass weeds and must be mechanically incorporated 5 to 10 cm deep. This herbicide when formulated as a microencapsulated (ME) aqueous capsule suspension could be applied pre-emergence of the crop. Moreover, the herbicide bentazon is approved for post-emergence use in pea to control broadleaf weeds at the two to fifth true leaf stage of the crop. Imazamox plus bentazon is also approved for post-emergence use in pea to control both broadleaf and grass weeds. Quizalofop-p-ethyl is a selective, post-emergence herbicide for control of annual and perennial grass weeds.

Herbicide history must also be considered due to the risk of residues of herbicides for example used in previous cereal crops. Herbicide residues of sulfonylureas (i.e. chlorsulfuron, foramsulfuron, metsulfuron methyl, triasulfuron), triazines (i.e. terbutylazine) and triketones (i.e. mesotrione, tembotrione, sulcotrione) can be very damaging to new pea crops.

**Broomrape (Orobanche) in pea**

Broomrakes (Phelipanche spp., Orobanche spp.) are obligate parasites that infect roots of dicotyledonous plants. Several broomrape species such as Orobanche crenata, O. foetida and Phelipanche aegyptiaca are reported to infect various legumes, while pea is infected only by O. crenata and not or hardly by O. foetida or P. aegyptiaca (Fernández-Aparicio and Rubiales, 2012). According to Fernández-Aparicio et al. (2010a) pea cultivation in the Mediterranean basin and Middle East is greatly influenced by Orobanche crenata, which is an annual plant that reproduces only by seeds; its underground part consists of the tuber and pseudo roots, while above-ground it consists of a flowering stem 30-70 cm high, erect, non-branching (Restuccia et al., 2009). Infection in pea is favoured by early sowing dates (October-December) and by mild winters and rainy autumns and springs (Rubiales et al., 2003).

Broomrape is difficult to control in pea (Rubiales et al., 2009b; Rubiales and Fernández-Aparicio, 2012). Imazethapyr applied pre- and post-emergence of the late crop sowings significantly reduced the infection and increased pea yield. Infection on pea and faba bean is reduced when these crops are intercropped with oat (Fernández-Aparicio et al., 2006). In another study, Fernández-Aparicio et al. (2010a) found that colonisation of field pea roots by arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi (Glomus mosseae and G. intraradices) reduces seed germination rate of broomrape species. The main reason for lower germination rates may be attributed to strigolactone production. Arbuscular mycorrhizal symbiosis decreases strigolactone production in pea. Strigolactones are signalling molecules that play a critical role for seed germination of broomrape and also for arbuscular mycorrhizal (AM) symbiosis (Garcia-Garrido et al., 2009). Thus, the positive effect of AM colonisation in reducing strigolactone production make AM fungi a promising tool for controlling pea infections by broomrape (Lopez-Raez et al., 2008).

Finally, the development of resistant pea varieties to broomrape is an efficient strategy to managing broomrape.

### Table 2. The 25 most common weeds in field pea crops in Europe

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Scientific name</th>
<th>Family</th>
<th>Life cycle-weed type</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Aethionema arenosum</td>
<td>Asters</td>
<td>A-FL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chenopodium album</td>
<td>Chenopodiaceae</td>
<td>A-FL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Convolvulus arvensis</td>
<td>Convolvulaceae</td>
<td>A-FL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lamium amplexicaule</td>
<td>Lamiales</td>
<td>A-FL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Malva neglecta</td>
<td>Malvaceae</td>
<td>A-FL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Matricaria chamomilla</td>
<td>Asteraceae</td>
<td>A-FL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nasturtium officinale</td>
<td>Gomphaceae</td>
<td>A-FL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phalaris cornua</td>
<td>Poaceae</td>
<td>A-FL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plantago major</td>
<td>Plantaginaceae</td>
<td>A-FL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Polygonum aviculare</td>
<td>Polygonaceae</td>
<td>A-FL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Polygonum persicaria</td>
<td>Polygonaceae</td>
<td>A-FL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pseudotaxis falcata</td>
<td>Poaceae</td>
<td>A-FL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rumex crispus</td>
<td>Polygonaceae</td>
<td>A-FL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sinapis arvensis</td>
<td>Brassicaceae</td>
<td>A-FL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sisymbrium officinale</td>
<td>Asteraceae</td>
<td>A-FL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ulex europaeus</td>
<td>Fabaceae</td>
<td>A-FL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Veronica persica</td>
<td>Plantaginaceae</td>
<td>A-FL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vicia cracca</td>
<td>Fabaceae</td>
<td>A-FL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vicia sativa</td>
<td>Fabaceae</td>
<td>A-FL</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

A-annual, P-perennial, B-biennial, BL-broadleaved, G-grass, Pa-Parasitic weed
although the breeding for broomrape resistance is difficult (Rubiales et al., 2009c). As a result of a breeding program, the first two pea resistant varieties to broomrape (cv. Toro and Fandango) are now registered (Rubiales et al., 2015).

**Pest and disease management**

Bacterial and fungal diseases can cause severe damage to field pea crop. The most important diseases of field pea are ascochyta blight, powdery mildew, downy mildew and bacterial blight.

Ascochyta blight, caused by Ascochyta pisii, Mycosphaerella pinodes and Phoma pinodella, is one of the most important pea diseases worldwide (Bretag et al., 2006; Fernández-Aparicio et al., 2010b; Le May et al., 2009; Schoeny et al., 2010). Of these M. pinodes is the most harmful pathogen (McDonald and Peck, 2009; French, 2004; Lawyer, 1984). Specifically in the Mediterranean basin, it is considered as the second major constraint for the crop after broomrape (Rubiales et al., 2003) as can result in up to 75% yield loss (French, 2004). Ascochyta blight causes spot or lesions on leaves, stems and pods, and root rot (Richard et al., 2012). Current control methods include crop rotation, late sowing of crops, destruction of infected pea residues, use of pathogen-free seed, seed treatment and application of foliar fungicides (Bretag et al., 2006; Česnulevičiene et al., 2014; Richard et al., 2012), while effort should be made to develop varieties resistant to ascochyta blight (Bretag et al., 2006). According to McDonald and Peck (2009) a decline of 15% per year in soil inoculum occurs, which means that a break of 6 years is required to reduce soil inoculum by 90%. Hwang et al. (2006) also reported that ascochyta blight severity in pea crop was greater at higher seeding rates. For Mediterranean areas, McDonald and Peck (2009), as well as French (2004) suggested the delayed sowing as the best cultural strategy to reduce inoculation. In France and Spain, Schoeny et al. (2010) and Fernández-Aparicio et al. (2010b) also observed that disease severity reduced when pea was intercropped with cereals. Foliar sprays with fungicides (i.e. azoxystrobin) are also very effective against ascochyta blight, although their application may be prohibitive due to the low price of the final product.

Pea powdery mildew (Erysiphe pisi) and downy mildew (Peronospora viciae) are widely distributed all over the world. Powdery mildew is mostly damaging in late sowings or in late maturing varieties and can cause 25-50% yield losses, while management of pea powdery mildew relies on resistance cultivars, the use of fungicides and early planting (Fondiella and Rubiales, 2012). Moreover, downy mildew fungus causes infection of seedlings, leaves and pods. Oospores carried with the seed and present in the soil play the main role in the disease (Stegmark, 1994). Foliar sprays or treatment of seeds with fungicides (i.e. metalaxyl) are very effective against downy mildew (Chang et al., 2013).

Bacterial blight (Pseudomonas syringae, either pv. pisi or pv. syringae) is a serious disease of field peas and can cause yield losses of 70% (Fondiella et al., 2012). It is primarily a seed-borne pathogen, but infected pea residues can be an important source of inoculum. Control methods include crop rotation, use of pathogen-free seed, avoiding early planting and application of foliar bactericides (Hollaway et al., 2007). Rust (Uromyces spp.) is also an important disease of pea. Pea can be infected mainly by Uromyces pisi, followed by Uromyces viciaceae, Uromyces striatus, Uromyces ciceris-arietini, Uromyces anthyllidis and Uromyces vignae (Barilli et al., 2012).

Other diseases that infect pea crops are rhizoctonia root rot, fusarium wilt and Aphanomyces root rot. Rhizoctonia solani root rot and fusarium wilt are caused by the soil-borne fungus Rhizoctonia solani and Fusarium solani f. sp. pisi, respectively, are common throughout the world. Also, Aphanomyces root rot (Aphanomyces euteiches Drechs.) is a destructive root disease of pea that can severely reduce seed yield (Conner et al., 2013; Pilet-Nayel et al., 2013). According to Wicker et al. (2001) Aphanomyces root rot is a serious disease of pea in France since 1993. In another study, Wicker and Rouxel (2001) reported that A. euteiches isolates from France were more aggressive than the isolates from other countries. Recently, eight germplasm lines of green pea with high level of resistance to Aphanomyces root rot were developed (McGee et al., 2012). These lines should be used in pea breeding programs to develop new varieties with resistance to A. euteiches. Crop rotations can minimize the risk of root diseases. A break of at least 2-3 years between field pea crops is recommended.

Field pea is also susceptible to virus and insects. Pea enation mosaic virus (PEMV) is an important virus disease. Tornos et al. (2008) reported that the infected plants exhibited symptoms such as yellow mosaic, curled leaves, vein enations and shortened internodes. The two main insects of field pea are pea leaf weevil and pea weevil. The pea leaf weevil (Sitona lineatus L.) is a significant pest of field pea and faba bean (Vicia faba L.) crops (Vankosky et al., 2009). Its adults feed on foliage but larvae prefer to feed on root nodules. Feeding damage resulting in reduced yield and nitrogen fixation (Vankosky et al., 2011). These researchers also reported that the thiamethoxam seed treatment reduced foliar feeding for 40 to 50 d after planting, while foliar insecticides have limited efficacy.

The pea weevil (Bruchus pisorum L.) is one of the most serious pests of pea causing severe damage to seeds (Clement et al., 2009). In a recent study, Seidenglanz et al. (2011) observed that the insecticides (lambda-cyhalothrin, alpha-cypermethrin, acetamiprid, thiacloprid) showed ovicidal and larvicidal effects, while lambda-cyhalothrin and alpha-cypermethrin were the most effective insecticides. Finally, other insects that infect pea crops are pea aphid (Acrystosiphum pisum), black bean aphid (Aphis fabae), cutworms (Agrotis spp.), pea moth (Laspeyresia nigricana) and pea midge (Contarinia pisi).

**Irrigation**

Pea is well-adapted to the semi-arid conditions and can be grown without irrigation. The water requirement of field pea is similar to cereals. Wang et al. (2012) reported that dry pea had the highest water use efficiency (WUE) among the pulses (chickpea, lentil, faba bean, dry bean), while chickpea exhibited the lowest WUE.

High temperatures and water deficit during reproductive growth phase results in reduced seed number (Giulioni et al., 2003). Moreover, water deficit affects the distribution of pea roots. Benjamin and Nielsen (2006) observed that under irrigated conditions, about 80% of the field pea roots were in the top 23 cm. Under dry conditions, about 66% of the field pea root mass was found up to a depth of 23 cm. Water deficit
affects also biological nitrogen fixation linked to asparagine-related regulation of nitrogen fixation. A large amount of asparagine is found accumulating in nodules under drought conditions (Sulieman and Tran, 2013).

In southern Europe, an autumn planting provides an opportunity to limit the negative effects of water stress on grain pea yield as maximum root depth is reached earlier than in spring-sown crops (Vocanson et al., 2006b). The flowering and pod filling stages are the most critical stages for water need, especially the period between 10 days before flowering and 40 days after flowering (Sandaña and Calderini, 2012; Sorensen et al., 2003). The development of drought-tolerant pea varieties is also a strategy to improve yields in Mediterranean region. Grzesiak et al. (1999) reported differences in drought tolerance between varieties. According to Iglesias-Garcia et al. (2015) the markers A6, AA175, AC74, AD57, AB141, AB64, Psblox2, PsAAP2_SNPi, and DipeptIV_SNPi can be used in pea breeding programs for drought tolerance.

**Harvest and yield**

Vining peas are harvested with specialised pea vining machines when the pods are well filled but the seeds are still tender. Yield loss at harvest can be high and these are minimised by careful machine operation (Glancey et al., 1996). Dry grain peas are harvested with a conventional combine harvester. Timely harvesting of the crop is critical to avoid seed losses and harvesting in southern Europe starts when grain moisture content has fallen to 14% which is usually before the harvest of autumn-sown cereals. Losses at harvest can be high and wet weather is a significant risk. This is largely because, grown alone, peas are susceptible to lodging after flowering and lodging causes grain loss. Elkoca and Kantar (2006) reported that application of gibberellin inhibitor mepiquat chloride significantly shortened stem height and considerably improved lodging resistance. Spraying pea plants with 25 g ha\(^{-1}\) mepiquat chloride (active ingredient) at early blooming stage was the most beneficial treatment (Elkoca and Kantar, 2006). However, mepiquat chloride is currently not approved for use in pea. Intercropping peas with oats reduces lodging. With intercropping, the optimal pea to oat ratio varying according to pea cultivar and local growth conditions (Kontturi et al., 2011).

Desiccation and crop-topping are well established techniques in pea crops. Desiccation of pea crops reduces the period from maturity to harvest while crop-topping is the late application of herbicides to kill surviving weeds before they set seed without affecting crop yield (Meldrum, 2011). Crop-topping reduces harvest problems caused by late weed growth. The herbicides diquat and glyphosate are approved in some countries (i.e. Australia, Canada, USA), for use as a pre-harvest aid. To our knowledge, these herbicides are not approved for use on pea crop in southern European countries (i.e. Greece, Italy, Spain). The herbicide diquat is approved for use as desiccant in potato, alfalfa (i.e. Italy, Greece) and bean (i.e. Greece).

**Grain yield and crop development prospects**

Many researchers report yield instability in pea (Sagan et al., 1993; Cousin 1997), which is affected by many biotic and abiotic factors. The seed yield of dry peas range between 1.5 to 4 t ha\(^{-1}\). Nemec et al. (2008) in a study of the introduction of grain legumes into European crop rotations reported lower production in Spain compared to Germany. The pea yielded 1.2 t ha\(^{-1}\) in Spain with no addition of mineral fertilizers, whereas pea grown in Germany achieved a threefold yield of 3.3 t ha\(^{-1}\) with fertilizer input. However, in both cases, cereal crop (wheat) was twice as high yield as pea. This pattern is confirmed by FAO statistics reported by Stoddard (2013). It means that pea grain must have a substantially higher price

---

**Fig. 5. The critical points in pea cultivation in Europe**
than cereal grains, considering even the longer-term rotational benefits of the inclusion of pea. In general, the yields in organic crops are typically lower than yields in conventional crops. Gopinath et al. (2009) reported this yield penalty to be 10-14%. Pest, disease and weed infestation are less easy to control in organic farming systems than in those managed conventionally (Corre-Hellou and Crozat, 2005).

However, we can identify some pointers to development. While yields are lower than competing cereals, there are opportunities for relatively good performance compared with cereals. While average pea yields are less than half of wheat yields in Germany and the UK, they are more than half of wheat yields in many Mediterranean countries (Stoddard, 2013). This relatively good performance in southern Europe is attributable to the option to sow peas in autumn thus closing the yield gap attributable to the autumn sowing of cereals and spring sown of pea in northern Europe. This not only extends the growing season, but also helps pea escape drought. Therefore, in terms of the length of the growing season, pea is not disadvantaged against wheat in this region. We have also identified some pointers to crop improvement (Fig. 5). Improved cultivar resistance to ascochyta blight, greater winter hardiness, and better standing ability would all contribute to improving the performance of pea in southern Europe compared with cereals.
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